

# Nierenteilresektion. Vom Patienten gefordert, aber sinnvoll für alle?

Nina N. Harke, Markus A. Kuczyk

## Literatur

1. Robson CJ et al. The results of radical nephrectomy for renal cell carcinoma. *J Urol* 1969; 101: 297–301
2. Robert-Koch-Institut. Gesellschaft der epidemiologischen Krebsregister in Deutschland e. V. (Hrsg) Krebs in Deutschland für 2017/2018, 13. Aufl. RKI, Berlin. 2021
3. Novick AC et al. Partial nephrectomy in the treatment of renal adenocarcinoma. *J Urol* 1977; 118: 932–6
4. Thompson RH et al. Tumor size is associated with malignant potential in renal cell carcinoma cases. *J Urol* 2009; 181: 2033–6
5. Smaldone MC et al. Small renal masses progressing to metastases under active surveillance: a systematic review and pooled analysis. *Cancer* 2012; 118: 997–1006
6. Leitlinienprogramm\_Onkologie. (Deutsche Krebsgesellschaft, Deutsche Krebshilfe, AWMF): Diagnostik, Therapie und Nachsorge des Nierenzellkarzinoms, Langversion 3.0,2021, AWMF Registernummer: 043/017OL. (<https://www.leitlinienprogramm-onko-logie.de/leitlinien/nierenzellkarzinom/>) Zugegriffen: 15.05.2022
7. Alam R et al. Comparative effectiveness of management options for patients with small renal masses: a prospective cohort study. *BJU Int* 2019; 123: 42–50
8. Fraisse G et al. Peri-operative and local control outcomes of robot-assisted partial nephrectomy vs percutaneous cryoablation for renal masses: comparison after matching on radiological stage and renal score. *BJU Int* 2019; 123: 632–8
9. Andrews JR et al. Oncologic Outcomes Following Partial Nephrectomy and Percutaneous Ablation for cT1 Renal Masses. *Eur Urol* 2019; 76: 244–51
10. Rivero JR et al. Partial Nephrectomy versus Thermal Ablation for Clinical Stage T1 Renal Masses: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of More than 3,900 Patients. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 2018; 29: 18–29
11. Huang WC et al. Chronic kidney disease after nephrectomy in patients with renal cortical tumours: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet Oncol* 2006; 7: 735–40
12. Weight CJ et al. Nephrectomy induced chronic renal insufficiency is associated with increased risk of cardiovascular death and death from any cause in patients with localized cT1b renal masses. *J Urol* 2010; 183: 1317–23
13. Van Poppel H et al. A prospective, randomised EORTC intergroup phase 3 study comparing the oncologic outcome of elective nephron-sparing surgery and radical nephrectomy for low-stage renal cell carcinoma. *Eur Urol* 2011; 59: 543–52
14. Kutikov A et al. The R.E.N.A.L. nephrometry score: a comprehensive standardized system for quantitating renal tumor size, location and depth. *J Urol* 2009; 182: 844–53
15. Ficarra V et al. Preoperative aspects and dimensions used for an anatomical (PADUA) classification of renal tumours in patients who are candidates for nephron-sparing surgery. *Eur Urol* 2009; 56: 786–93
16. Veccia A et al. Predictive Value of Nephrometry Scores in Nephron-sparing Surgery: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Eur Urol Focus* 2020; 6: 490–504

17. Mir MC et al. Partial Nephrectomy Versus Radical Nephrectomy for Clinical T1b and T2 Renal Tumors: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Comparative Studies. *Eur Urol* 2017; 71: 606–7
18. Li J et al. Partial nephrectomy versus radical nephrectomy for cT2 or greater renal tumors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Minerva Urol Nefrol* 2019; 71: 435–44
19. EAU\_Guidelines. Edn. presented at the EAU Annual Congress Amsterdam 2022.
20. Cacciamani GE et al. Impact of Surgical Factors on Robotic Partial Nephrectomy Outcomes: Comprehensive Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Urol* 2018; 200: 258–74
21. Mir MC et al. Partial versus radical nephrectomy in very elderly patients: a propensity score analysis of surgical, functional and oncologic outcomes (RESURGE project). *World J Urol* 2020; 38: 151–8
22. Hsu RCJ et al. Impact of hospital nephrectomy volume on intermediate- to long-term survival in renal cell carcinoma. *BJU Int* 2020; 125: 56–63